Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/745,289	ROMERO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457
All Participants: Status of Application: Appeal Brief		
(1) <u>BARBARA N. BURGESS</u> .	(3)	
(2) Chad Thorson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 July 2009	Time: Morning	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 13, 14, 17, 21, 26, 37, 38, 39, 50		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/745,289

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicants' representative Chad Thorson to propose amendments and claim cancellations in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1, 41, 72, 72, 63 on an otheir dependent claims. As well, Applicant agreed to amend claims 37-39 to avoid 101 issues and maintain consistency with claim 13. Authorization was given to Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith.